

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/770,502	02/04/2004	William McDaniel	243156-000202	1756
47604 7590 09/10/2009 DLA PIPER LLP US P. O. BOX 2758			EXAMINER	
			ANDERSON, FOLASHADE	
RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/770,502
 MCDANIEL ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 FOLASHADE ANDERSON
 3623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

 (1) FOLASHADE ANDERSON.
 (4) Prashant Dave.

 (2) Beth Boswell (SPE).
 (5) David Nehme.

(3)Lisa Norton . (6) ) .

Date of Interview: 09 September 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lawrence et al</u> "A taxonomy and Research Overview of Perishable-Asset Revenue Management: Yield Management, Overbooking, and Pricing.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Suggestions were made to create a clearer lie to the disclosed inventive concept of learning curve based on optimization of past space request.</u> <u>Additional suggestions were made to help Applicant overcome the 35 USC 101 rejections.</u>

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Beth V. Boswell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3623